

The impact of morbid obesity on survival of endometrial cancer

Morbid obezitenin endometriyal kanserli hastaların sağkalımına etkisi

Ahmet Barış Güzel¹, Ghanim Khatib¹, GÜmran Küçükgöz Güleç¹, Derya Gümürdülü²,
Mehmet Ali Vardar¹

¹Çukurova University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Adana, Turkey
²Çukurova University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pathology, Division of Gynecologic Pathology, Adana, Turkey

Abstract

Objective: Morbid obesity is identified as patients with a body mass index more than 40 kg/m². Obesity is known as a risk factor for endometrial cancer due to the increase of the deposited estrogen. This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of morbid obesity on the survival of endometrial cancer. **Materials and Methods:** The archival records and pathologic reports of patients with endometrial cancer who underwent surgery and were followed up in Çukurova University Gynecologic Oncology Center between January 1996 and December 2018 were reviewed, retrospectively. Data regarding body mass index and survival was reported in 520 patients. These patients were stratified into two groups according to their body mass index, <40 and \geq 40 kg/m². The groups' clinic, pathologic features, and survival rates were compared.

Results: There were 146 patients in the morbidly obese group and 374 patients in the obese group. The mean age of the groups was 58.5 and 56.2 years, respectively. The mean follow-up time was 51.6 months. Comorbidities were significantly higher in the morbidly obese group. The five-year disease-free and overall survival rates were 78.3% and 85.3% in the morbidly obese group, and 81.6% and 90.1% in the obese group, respectively. Although the groups' clinical and pathologic features were homogeneously distributed, disease-free and overall survival rates were significantly different (p=0.053 and p=0.054, respectively). **Conclusion:** Morbidly obese patients with endometrial cancer were associated with 2.7-fold increased risk of death and 1.7-fold increased risk of recurrence compared with those who had body mass index <40 kg/m². It is important to deal with the frequent comorbidities in this special group, which could be simply altered by lifestyle changes. Morbidly obese patients with endometrial cancer should be encouraged in lifestyle changes and consulted by dieticians and endocrinologists.

Keywords: Morbid obesity, endometrial cancer, survival

Öz

Amaç: Morbid obezite, vücut kitle indeksi 40 kg/m²'den fazla olan hastaları tanımlar. Obezite, yağ dokusunda depolanan östrojenin artışı nedeniyle endometriyum kanseri için bir risk faktörü olarak bilinir. Bu çalışma, endometriyal kanserin sağkalımı üzerine morbid obezite etkisini değerlendirmek için planlanmıştır.

Gereç ve Yöntemler: Çukurova Üniversitesi Jinekolojik Onkoloji Birimi'nde Ocak 1996 - Aralık 2018 tarihleri arasında opere edilen ve takip edilen endometriyum kanserli olgularının arşiv kayıtları ve patolojik raporları retrospektif olarak incelendi. Bu hastalardan vücut kitle indeksi ve sağkalım ile ilgili tam verilerine ulaşılabilen 520 olgu çalışmaya dahil edildi. Hastalar vücut kitle indeksleri <40 ve ≥40 şeklinde iki gruba ayrıldı. Grupların kliniği, patolojik özellikleri ve sağkalım oranları karşılaştırıldı.

Bulgular: Morbid obez hasta grubunda 146 ve vücut kitle indeksi <40 kg/m² olan grupta 374 hasta vardı. Grupların yaş ortalaması sırasıyla 58,5 ve 56,2 idi. Ortalama takip süresi 51,6 aydı. Komorbiditeler, morbid obez grupta anlamlı derecede yüksekti. Beş yıllık hastalıksız ve genel sağkalım oranları, morbid obez grupta sırasıyla; %78,3 ve %85,3, vücut kitle indeksi <40 kg/m² olan grupta %81,6 ve %90,1 idi. Grupların klinik ve patolojik özelliklerinin homojen olarak dağılmış olmasına rağmen, hastalıksız ve genel sağkalım oranlarının anlamlı derecede farklı olduğu görülmüştür (sırasıyla, p=0,053 ve p=0,054).

Sonuç: Morbid obez endometriyal kanserli hastalar, vücut kitle indeksi <40 kg/m² olanlara göre 2,7 artmış ölüm riski ve 1,7 artmış nüks riski ile ilişkiliydi. Yaşam tarzındaki değişimlerle kolayca değiştirilebilecek olan ve bu özel grupta sıkça görülen komorbiditelerle baş etmek son derece önemlidir. Morbid obez endometriyal kanserli hastalar yaşam tarzı değişiklikleri için teşvik edilmeli ve diyetisyenler ile endokrinologlara konsülte edilmelidir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Morbid obezite, endometriyum kanseri, sağkalım

PRECIS: Although there are limited studies on the effect of obesity on long-term outcomes of endometrial cancer, morbid obesity has not been considered separately in most of them. We address this issue herein.

Address for Correspondence/Yazışma Adresi: Ghanim Khatib, MD,

Çukurova University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Adana, Turkey Phone: +90 322 338 60 60 E-mail: ghanim.khatib@gmail.com ORCID ID: orcid.org/0000-0002-0163-1141 Received/Geliş Tarihi: 17.10.2019 Accepted/Kabul Tarihi: 28.04.2020

[©]Copyright 2020 by Turkish Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology Turkish Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology published by Galenos Publishing House.

Introduction

Endometrial carcinoma is the most seen gynecologic cancer in the developed world⁽¹⁾. Based on epidemiologic and histologic evidence, endometrial cancer has been historically stratified into two types⁽²⁾. Endometrioid type (type 1), which comprises about 80% of the cases, is an unopposed estrogendependent endometrial cancer type^(2,3). It has been supposed that the estrogen deposited in the adipose tissue leaves the endometrium layer under continuous hormonal exposure⁽⁴⁾. Hence, the relation of obesity with endometrial cancer risk, particularly the endometrioid type, has been emphasized since the dualistic identification⁽²⁻⁵⁾. Therefore, the growing incidence of endometrial carcinoma in the last decades is presumably due to the rising obesity levels⁽⁶⁾. The World Health Organization (WHO) defined obesity as patients with body mass index (BMI) more than 30 kg/m² and morbid obesity as $\geq 40 \text{ kg/m}^{2}$ (7). It has been reported that up to 81% of the endometrial cancer' patients are obese and 19% to 36% of them are morbidly obese⁽⁶⁾. Inadequate activity and obesitylinked medical comorbidities in these patients were supposed to contribute in the management complexity and to negatively affect long-term outcomes^(6,8). Even though this special population is characterized with the low-grade, early-stage, and good prognostic endometrioid type endometrial cancers, their mortality seems to be higher compared with their normal weight (kg) counterparts^(5,8-10). However, the underlying reason and mechanism for this condition is uncertain. In other words, it is not clear whether obesity itself has a negative prognostic influence on endometrial cancer or its comorbidities that lead to such a result^(3,5). Furthermore, there are insufficient studies on the issue, focusing on morbidly obese patients (BMI ≥40 kg/ m²) with endometrial cancer. In the present study, the impact of morbid obesity on the survival of endometrial cancer was investigated.

Materials and Methods

The archival records and pathologic reports of patients with endometrial cancer who underwent surgery and were followed up in Çukurova University Gynecologic Oncology Center between January 1996 and December 2018, were reviewed, retrospectively. Data regarding BMI and survival was reached in 520 patients. These patients were stratified into two groups according to their BMI, <40 and \geq 40. BMI [kg/height (m)]² was calculated and classified regarding to the WHO guidelines. Thus, patients with BMI ≥40 kg/m² were identified as morbidly obese. The groups' clinic, pathologic features, and survival rates were compared. Compared variables included age, comorbidities, surgical approach, surgical procedure, perioperative and postoperative complications including wound infections, hospitalization time, tumor size, histologic type, stage, grade, myometrial invasion, retroperitoneal lymph node (LN) involvement, yielded LN count, lymphovascular space invasion, adjuvant treatments, and follow-up data.

This study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration. Ethical approval was not obtained for this study because of its retrospective nature. A informed consent was obtained routinely.

The main surgical procedures were total hysterectomy-bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (via laparotomy or laparoscopy) with or without pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy according to the intraoperative frozen section result. Intraoperative frozen section was applied for all included cases, and a decision whether to pursue lymphadenectomy was taken based on its results. Lymphadenectomy was not performed in patients with stage 1a, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) grade 1-2, and <2 cm tumors (low-risk factors). Lymphadenectomy (± omentectomy) was considered in the presence of any of the following: endometrioid adenocarcinoma grade 3, tumor diameter >2 cm, \geq 50% myometrial invasion, stage >1a or non-endometrioid histologies. Adjuvant therapies (brachytherapy, external beam radiotherapy and/ or chemotherapy) were kept in view for patients with \geq intermediate risk factors. All specimens were assessed by gynecologic pathologists. Comorbidities were accepted as any concomitant chronic disease. The FIGO 2009 staging guideline for endometrial cancer was used⁽¹¹⁾. Stage of cases operated before 2009 was rearranged according to this recent staging system. Grade was also identified according to the 1988 FIGO grading system^(11,12). The period between the date of the histopathologic diagnosis and recurrence was identified as disease-free survival (DFS). Overall survival (OS) was considered to be the time between the date of the histopathologic diagnosis and date of death from any cause.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using the SPSS software version 23.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive analyses are presented as mean ± standard deviation, number and percentage. Normally distributed continuous variables were analyzed using Student's t-test. Categorical data were analyzed using the chi-square test or Fisher's Exact test. Survival analysis was realized using the Kaplan-Meier method and the differences in the survival curves were calculated through the log-rank test. The significance of multiple variables was assessed using the Cox proportional hazard model. P-values were considered significant at the level <0.05.

Results

The groups' characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Unlike age, comorbidities and LN dissection, all clinical, surgical, and pathologic variables were identical between the groups. The mean age of the morbidly obese group and obese group was 58.5 ± 10 years and 56.3 ± 10.7 years, respectively (p=0.033). The rate of comorbidities was significantly higher in the morbidly obese group compared with the obese group (64.6% vs 53.2%, respectively, p=0.020).

More than half of the patients in both groups underwent laparoscopic surgery, 55.5% of the patients with morbid obesity and 54.8% obese group. No difference between the

groups was observed with respect to the surgical approach (p=0.895). Wound infections were developed in 6.3% of the morbidly obese group and in 3.5% of the obese group.

Table 1. Patients' characteristics

Variables		Body mass index		р
		<40	≥40	
Age (mean ± SD)		56.29±10.7	58.52±10.0	0.0033
		n (%)	n (%)	
Comorbidities	No	174 (46.8)	51 (35.4)	0.020
	Yes	198 (53.2)	93 (64.6)	-
Surgical approach	LT	168 (45.2)	65 (44.5)	0.895
	LS	204 (54.8)	81 (55.5)	-
Intraoperative complications	No	361 (97.0)	141 (96.6)	0.203
	Bleeding	6 (1.6)	2 (1.4)	-
	Urinary injury	1 (0.3)	3 (2.1)	-
	Intestinal injury	3 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	-
	Others	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	-
	No	355 (95.4)	133 (92.4)	0.564
Postoperative complications	Infection	13 (3.5)	9 (6.3)	-
	Urinary complications	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-
	Intestinal complications	2 (0.5)	1 (0.7)	-
	Others	2 (0.5)	1 (0.7)	-
MI	No	205 (55.0)	106 (72.6)	< 0.001
	Pelvic	39 (10.5)	14 (9.6)	-
	Pelvic + Paraaortic	129 (34.6)	26 (17.8)	-
Histopathology	Endometrioid	283 (76.1)	119 (81.5)	0.182
	Non-endometrioid	89 (23.9)	27 (18.5)	-
Grade	1	196 (60.7)	79 (58.5)	0.578
	2	104 (32.2)	49 (36.3)	-
	3	23 (7.1)	7 (5.2)	-
Stage	Uterus confined (stage 1-2)	317 (85.4)	127 (87.0)	0.650
	Extrauterine spread (stage 3-4)	54 (14.6)	19 (13.0)	-
MI	<50	242 (69.7)	91 (65.9)	0.416
	≥50	105 (30.3)	47 (34.1)	-
LVSI	No	249 (68.2)	94 (65.3)	0.326
	Yes	116 (31.8)	50 (34.7)	-
LND involvement	Negative	310 (89.9)	124 (93.9)	0.163
	Positive	35 (10.1)	8 (6.1)	-
Adjuvant treatments	No	226 (61.6)	88 (61.1)	0.922
	Yes	141 (38.4)	56 (38.9)	-

LT: Laparotomy, LS: Laparoscopy, SD: Standard deviation, LND: Lymph node dissection, MI: Myometrial invasion, LVSI: Lymphovascular space invasion

However, there was no significant difference between the groups according to the intraoperative and postoperative complications including wound infections. Pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy was performed less frequently in the morbidly obese group compared with the obese group (17.8% vs 34.6%, respectively). However, it should be noted that comparable lymphadenectomy ratios of both groups were recorded when pelvic LN dissection was performed exclusively (9.6% and 10.5%, respectively).

Endometrioid type endometrial cancer was found in 81.5% of the morbidly obese group and 76.1% the obese group. With respect to the histopathologic type, no significant difference was determined between the groups (p=0.182). There was also no difference regarding to grade distribution between the groups (p=0.578). Most cases of both groups were confined to the uterus, 87% of the morbidly obese group and 85.4% of the obese group, without a significant difference (p=0.650). The myometrium was invaded less than 50% in 65.9% and 69.7% of the morbidly obese group and obese group, respectively. No significantly difference was detected between the groups in terms of myometrial invasion (p=0.416). The ratio of lymphovascular space invasion was also not significantly different between the groups; 34.7% in the morbidly obese group and 31.8% in the obese group. The involved LN rate was 6.1% in the morbidly obese group and 10.1% in the obese group, with no significant difference (p=0.163). Furthermore, there was no significant difference between the groups concerning adjuvant treatments (p=0.922) (see Table 1).

The mean follow-up period was 51 months. The 5-year OS of the morbidly obese group and obese group was 85.3% and 90.1%, respectively (p=0.054). The 5-year DFS of the morbidly obese group was 78.3% and 81.6% in the obese group, and this difference was relatively significant (p=0.053). The survival curves of the groups are demonstrated in Figure 1. Significant variables determined with the univariate analysis were assessed using a Cox regression hazard model (Table 2). Comorbidities, stage, and BMI were detected as independent prognostic factors for OS. For DFS, only myometrial invasion and BMI were found to be independent prognostic factors. Patients with endometrial cancer who were morbidly obese were associated with 2.7-fold (1.11-6.58; p=0.028) increased risk of death and 1.7-fold (1.02-3.07; p=0.042) increased risk of recurrence compared with those who had a BMI <40 kg/m².

Discussion

Obesity, and morbid obesity in particular, is a growing issue around the world. Obesity is a well-known predisposing factor for several metabolic diseases, as well as various malignancies^(4,13). It was reported that compared with the normal-kg population, patients with BMI >40 kg/m² were associated with a 60% higher risk of death from all cancers^(8,9). Additionally, obesity was considered as a risk factor for recurrence in various malignancies such as breast, colon, and prostate cancers⁽¹⁴⁾. The robust association between obesity and endometrial cancer risk has been emphasized in many studies^(3,4,14). It was reported that patients with morbid obesity had a 9-fold increased risk for endometrial cancer as compared with the normal-kg population⁽⁴⁾. However, even though there are limited studies in which the effect of obesity on the longterm outcomes of endometrial cancer were evaluated, morbid obesity was not taken into account separately in most of them. In the current study, the impact of morbid obesity on the survival of the endometrial cancer was exclusively investigated. Herein, we found a tendency toward lower DFS (p=0.053) and OS (p=0.054) in patients with morbid obesity compared with those with a BMI <40 kg/m². Furthermore, morbid obesity was detected to be an independent prognostic factor for both DFS and OS.

In a prospective cohort study with more than 900,000 participants, the relative risk of death from endometrial cancer for patients with BMI 30-34 and >40 kg/m² was recorded as 2.53 and 6.25, respectively⁽⁹⁾. Arem and Irwin⁽³⁾ reported that worse survival was noticed in four studies included in their review, and risk was greatest (1.86-2.76) in women with morbid obesity. In a Gynecologic Oncology Group study, von Gruenigen et al.⁽¹⁴⁾ determined that obesity was related to an increased risk of mortality but not increased recurrence

Table 2. Multivariate	analysis	of age	adjusted	overall	survival	and
disease-free survival						

<u> </u>	HR (95% CI)			
Covariates	OS	DFS		
Grade 1	Ref	Ref		
Grade 2	0.330 (0.086-1.264)	0.668 (0.269-1.659)		
Grade 3	0.555 (0.177-1.738)	0.516 (0.210-1.270)		
Comorbidities	8.379 (2.400-29.259)	1.574 (0.935-2.650)		
LVSI	0.725 (0.247-2.135)	0.826 (0.395-1.729)		
Adjuvant treatments	1.556 (0.416-5.823)	1.147 (0.511-2.577)		
MI	2.236 (0.708-7.068)	2.328 (1.030-5.263)		
Histology	1.982 (0.750-5.241)	1.361 (0.716-2.589)		
LN involvement	0.623 (0.135-2.880)	2.502 (0.711-8.797)		
Stage	3.394 (1.008-11.426)	1.143 (0.400-3.262)		
BMI	2.709 (1.115-6.579)	1.770 (1.020-3.071)		
No LND	Ref	Ref		
Pelvic LND	1.339 (0.453-3.959)	1.508 (0.723-3.143)		
PPALND	2.220 (0.609-8.096)	0.877 (0.328-2.345)		
Surgical route	0.546 (0.218-1.367)	1.017 (0.586-1.765)		

HR: Hazard ratio, CI: Confidence interval, OS: Overall survival, DFS: Disease-free survival, LVSI: Lymphovascular space invasion, MI: Myometrial invasion, LN: Lymph node, BMI: Body mass index, LND: Lymph node dissection, PPALND: Pelvic-paraaortic lymph node dissection





Turk J Obstet Gynecol 2020;17:209-14

Figure 1. Survival curves of the groups DFS: Disease-free survival, OS: Overall survival, BMI: Body mass index

rates in patients with early-stage endometrial cancer. Also, the ancillary data analysis of the Gynecologic Oncology Group LAP2 study illustrated that obesity was linked to all-cause mortality but not cancer-specific mortality⁽⁵⁾. By contrast, in our study, morbidly obese patients with endometrial cancer were compared with obese patients with endometrial, not only with the normal-kg women with endometrial cancer. In other words, solely morbid obesity was the point of our study, likely leading to the difference with the abovementioned studies concerning DFS. In addition, all women, regardless of disease stage, not only patients with early-stage endometrial cancer, were included in our study.

Several arguments have been proposed to explain the rationale of the association between obesity and mortality in women with endometrial cancer⁽¹⁵⁾. Medical conditions such as hypertension, diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases, the increased surgical complexity, operation time, and blood loss were suggested as influencers in this relationship^(3,16-18). Moreover, physiologic alterations including chronic inflammation, insulin resistance, changes in lipid and hormone profiles in patients with obesity were offered as mediators for this association^(3,19). Conversely, some studies failed to demonstrate a relationship between obesity and endometrial cancer prognosis^(3,13). It would not be fair to attribute the worse survival in these patients to the obesitylinked tumor prognostic features because endometrial cancer tends to be with favorable grade and histology in obese women. However, it should be remembered that this association could harbor multiple confounders such as age, patient, and tumor characteristics⁽¹⁵⁾. Temkin et al.⁽¹³⁾ stated that BMI was not an

independent prognosticator for survival of endometrial cancer, and the attributed favorable potency was because of younger age, low grade, and early stage of the tumor, not due to obesity itself. Furthermore, it was shown in some studies that BMI was not an independent predictor for endometrial cancer stage^(13,15,20). Therefore, LN evaluation should not be omitted thanks to the favorable-disease-argument in women with obesity. However, serious technical difficulties of lymphadenectomy in patients with morbid obesity should be kept in mind. In our study, pelvic lymphadenectomy was comparable between the groups, but para-aortic LN dissection was significantly lower in the morbidly obese group compared with the obese group. Despite the relative high rate of lymphadenectomy in both groups of our study, no difference between them was detected in terms of per- and postoperative complications, and these findings were commensurate with some literature studies, but not with others(21).

Beyond the association between obesity and survival, there is robust evidence of increased quality of life of patients with endometrial cancer made through lifestyle alterations such as physical activity, kg, and diet^(3,22). Higher health-related quality of life was reported in patients who followed more dedicated lifestyle recommendations⁽²²⁻²⁴⁾.

Study Limitations

The retrospective nature and its potential biases are the main weaknesses of our study. However, the limitation the study population to women with morbid obesity, the large cohort from a single academic cancer center, surgery and evaluation of all cases by the same team of gynecologic oncologists and gynecologic pathologists, and the long follow-up period were the main strengths.

Conclusion

Patients with endometrial cancer who were morbidly obese tended to have worse OS and DFS compared with women who were obese (BMI <40 kg/m²). BMI >40 kg/m² was determined to be an independent prognostic factor for both OS and DFS. Stage and comorbidities were also detected as independent prognosticators for OS. Keeping in mind that comorbidities and BMI are modifiable factors, efforts in this population should be focused on medical optimization and lifestyle alterations, particularly kg loss.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: Ethical approval was not obtained for this study because of its retrospective nature.

Informed Consent: A informed consent was obtained routinely. **Peer-review:** Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions

Concept: A.B.G., G.K., Design: M.A.V., D.G., Data Collection and Processing: G.K., Ü.K.G., Analysis or Interpretation: A.B.G., G.K., Ü.K.G., D.G., M.A.V., Literature Review: A.B.G., G.K., Ü.K.G., Writing: A.B.G., G.K., Ü.K.G.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

- Amant F, Moerman P, Neven P, Timmerman D, Van Limbergen E, Vergote I. Endometrial cancer. Lancet 2005;366:491-505.
- Bokhman JV. Two pathogenetic types of endometrial carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 1983;15:10-7.
- Arem H, Irwin ML. Obesity and endometrial cancer survival: a systematic review. Int J Obes (Lond) 2013;37:634-9.
- Pavelka JC, Ben-Shachar I, Fowler JM, Ramirez NC, Copeland LJ, Eaton LA, et al. Morbid obesity and endometrial cancer: surgical, clinical, and pathologic outcomes in surgically managed patients. Gynecol Oncol 2004;95:588-92.
- Gunderson CC, Java J, Moore KN, Walker JL. The impact of obesity on surgical staging, complications, and survival with uterine cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group LAP2 ancillary data study. Gynecol Oncol 2014;133:23-7.
- 6. Bouwman F, Smits A, Lopes A, Das N, Pollard A, Massuger L, et al. The impact of BMI on surgical complications and outcomes in endometrial cancer surgery--an institutional study and systematic review of the literature. Gynecol Oncol 2015;139:369-76.
- Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 2000;894:i-xii, 1-253.
- 8. Fader AN, Arriba LN, Frasure HE, von Gruenigen VE. Endometrial cancer and obesity: epidemiology, biomarkers, prevention and survivorship. Gynecol Oncol 2009;114:121-7.

- Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ. Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. adults. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1625-38.
- Reeves GK, Pirie K, Beral V, Green J, Spencer E, Bull D, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality in relation to body mass index in the Million Women Study: cohort study. BMJ 2007;335:1134.
- 11. Creasman W. Revised FIGO staging for carcinoma of the endometrium. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2009;105:109.
- Clarke BA, Gilks CB. Endometrial carcinoma: controversies in histopathological assessment of grade and tumour cell type. J Clin Pathol 2010;63:410-5.
- 13. Temkin SM, Pezzullo JC, Hellmann M, Lee YC, Abulafia O. Is body mass index an independent risk factor of survival among patients with endometrial cancer? Am J Clin Oncol 2007;30:8-14.
- 14. von Gruenigen VE, Tian C, Frasure H, Waggoner S, Keys H, Barakat RR. Treatment effects, disease recurrence, and survival in obese women with early endometrial carcinoma : a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Cancer 2006;107:2786-91.
- 15. Bittoni MA, Fisher JL, Fowler JM, Maxwell GL, Paskett ED. Assessment of the effects of severe obesity and lifestyle risk factors on stage of endometrial cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2013;22:76-81.
- 16. Everett E, Tamimi H, Greer B, Swisher E, Paley P, Mandel L, et al. The effect of body mass index on clinical/pathologic features, surgical morbidity, and outcome in patients with endometrial cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2003;90:150-7.
- 17. Foley K, Lee RB. Surgical complications of obese patients with endometrial carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 1990;39:171-4.
- Villareal DT, Apovian CM, Kushner RF, Klein S, American Society for N; Naaso, TOS. Obesity in older adults: technical review and position statement of the American Society for Nutrition and NAASO, The Obesity Society. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;82:923-34.
- Calle EE, Kaaks R. Overweight, obesity and cancer: epidemiological evidence and proposed mechanisms. Nat Rev Cancer 2004;4:579-91.
- 20. Reeves KW, Carter GC, Rodabough RJ, Lane D, McNeeley SG, Stefanick ML, et al. Obesity in relation to endometrial cancer risk and disease characteristics in the Women's Health Initiative. Gynecol Oncol 2011;121:376-82.
- 21. Suidan RS, He W, Sun CC, Zhao H, Fleming ND, Ramirez PT, et al. Impact of body mass index and operative approach on surgical morbidity and costs in women with endometrial carcinoma and hyperplasia. Gynecol Oncol 2017;145:55-60.
- 22. Blanchard CM, Courneya KS, Stein K, American Cancer Society's SCS, II. Cancer survivors' adherence to lifestyle behavior recommendations and associations with health-related quality of life: results from the American Cancer Society's SCS-II. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:2198-204.
- 23. Basen-Engquist K, Scruggs S, Jhingran A, Bodurka DC, Lu K, Ramondetta L, et al. Physical activity and obesity in endometrial cancer survivors: associations with pain, fatigue, and physical functioning. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2009;200:288.e1-8.
- 24. Courneya KS, Karvinen KH, Campbell KL, Pearcey RG, Dundas G, Capstick V, et al. Associations among exercise, body weight, and quality of life in a population-based sample of endometrial cancer survivors. Gynecol Oncol 2005;97:422-30.